[共同研究成果]

## Density functional theory of $N_2$ fixation on B doped g-C<sub>9</sub>N<sub>10</sub>

## Yuelin Wang\* and Yoshitada Morikawa

Department of Precision Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka University, 2-1

Yamada-oka Suita, Osaka 565-0871

Email: wangyl262@cp.prec.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp

 $N_2$  fixation to produce NH<sub>3</sub> is vital for fertilizers and energy storage. Converting  $N_2$  to NH<sub>3</sub> under ambient conditions is challenging due to the stable N=N bond. The industrial Haber-Bosch method, though effective, requires extreme conditions and generates CO<sub>2</sub> [1-2]. Photocatalysis and electrocatalysis of N<sub>2</sub> provide eco-friendly alternatives, improving reaction rates, selectivity, and energy efficiency while avoiding CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. Discovering a suitable N<sub>2</sub>RR catalyst is crucial for sustainable development [3]. Metal-free carbon materials have gained significant attention over metal-based catalysts due to their excellent stability, low cost, high surface area, and environmental friendliness [4]. B atom is electron-deficient atom, and it has Lewis-acid characteristics, which can drive the " $\sigma$  donation- $\pi$  backdonation" process when the B atom is attacked by Lewis base (such as N<sub>2</sub>) [5]. Thus, in this project, we have systematically investigated N<sub>2</sub>RR on B doped g-C<sub>9</sub>N<sub>10</sub> with three doping configurations, i. e. B substituted N (B<sub>N1</sub>), B substituted C (B<sub>C1</sub>), and B anchored (B<sub>A</sub>) on g-C<sub>9</sub>N<sub>10</sub> using density functional theory (DFT) calculations [6].

First, we construct the seven B atom doping structures, namely B substituted C or N and anchored on g-C<sub>9</sub>N<sub>10</sub> (Figure 1(a-h)). The stability of different doping sites is evaluated by formation energy, and B<sub>N1</sub> and B<sub>A</sub> (B<sub>C1</sub>) are stable at N-poor (rich) conditions. (Figure 1(i)). We found that for B<sub>C1</sub>, the N<sub>2</sub> molecule is physisorbed at B site due to the large boron-nitrogen distance (3.298 Å), while N<sub>2</sub> adsorbed on B<sub>N1</sub> only has an end-on configuration with an adsorption energy of -1.53 eV and N<sub>2</sub> adsorbed on B<sub>A</sub> has two different end-on and side-on configurations with  $E_{ads}$ 's of -1.48 eV and -0.91 eV, respectively. we found that N<sub>2</sub> is preferably

B<sub>N3</sub>



(i)

 $E_{\rm f}({
m eV})$ 

3

0

adsorbed in the end-on configuration than the side-on one by a large energy difference of 0.57 eV and the transition from end-on to side-on N<sub>2</sub> requires a barrier of 1.00 eV by CI-NEB [7-8].

B<sub>N1</sub>

B<sub>C3</sub>

**B**<sub>N3</sub>

B<sub>C2</sub> B<sub>N2</sub>

- B<sub>C1</sub> - B<sub>N1</sub>

(h)

B<sub>A</sub>

BA

-1 ∟ -1.5 -1.0 -0.5 0.0 **Δμ<sub>N</sub> (eV)** 600K 10-20 100 P/P<sup>0</sup>/atm = 10-40 700K . 10<sup>0</sup> P/P0/atm = 10-10 Figure 1. The possible site of B doped  $g-C_9N_{10}$  (a). The optimal structure of  $B_{C1}$  doped  $g-C_9N_{10}$  (b),  $B_{C2}$  doped  $g-C_9N_{10}$  (c),  $B_{C2}$  $C_9N_{10}$  (c),  $B_{C3}$  doped  $g-C_9N_{10}$  (d),  $B_{N1}$  doped  $g-C_9N_{10}$  (e),  $B_{N2}$  doped  $g-C_9N_{10}$  (f),  $B_{N3}$  doped  $g-C_9N_{10}$  (g) and  $B_A$ doped g- $C_9N_{10}$  (h). (i) The formation energy of seven B doped g- $C_9N_{10}$  structures as a function of N chemical potential.  $\Delta \mu_N = 1/2(\mu_{N2} - E_{N2})$  where  $E_{N2}$  is the total energy of a gas-phase N<sub>2</sub> molecule at 0 K. The bottom axes show the corresponding N<sub>2</sub> chemical potentials at the absolute temperature T and partial pressure P (with  $P^{\circ} = 1$ 

ref. 9.

Then, we investigated the five possible  $N_2RR$  pathways [10-12] starting by end-on  $N_2$ adsorption, including alternating, distal, mixed I, mixed II and mixed III pathways. The free energy calculations show that B<sub>N1</sub> and B<sub>A</sub> doped g-C<sub>9</sub>N<sub>10</sub> proceeds via mix I mechanism (Figure 2) starting from the stable end-on N<sub>2</sub> with low limiting potentials of -0.62 V and -0.44 V, respectively. Importantly, H blocks active site in the case of BA doped g-C9N10 due to stronger Eads of H\* (-1.95 eV), resulting in

atm),  $\mu_{N2} = H^{\circ}(T) - H^{\circ}(0) - TS^{\circ}(T) + k_BT \ln(P/P^{\circ})$ , where the enthalpy  $H^{\circ}$  and the entropy  $S^{\circ}$  are obtained from

lower  $N_2RR$  selectivity, while  $B_{N1}$  doped g- $C_9N_{10}$  can effectively prevent the H poisoning due to the weaker H adsorption relative to  $N_2$  adsorption (-0.25 eV vs. -0.9 eV), thus improving the  $N_2RR$  activity and selectivity.

Finally, we also perform the band structure and adsorption spectrum to investigate the photocatalytic activity. The band structures and absorption spectra indicate that introducing B atom can decrease the band gap and enhance the light absorption ability in the visible range.

In summary, in this project, partly DFT works were performed by Simulation Tool for the Atom Technology (STATE) program using AOBA-B system of the supercomputer in tohoku university to study atomistic insights into the N<sub>2</sub>RR on B-doped  $g-C_9N_{10}$ . The supercomputer offers very effective and time-saving to run the work. We think that our work would motivate experimental work to prove and explore the more carbon nitride materials for N<sub>2</sub>RR. Detailed results are published in reference [6].



**Figure 2.** (a) Schematic depiction of distal, alternating, enzymatic and Mixed mechanisms for  $N_2RR$ . (b) Free energy diagrams for optimal  $N_2$  reduction pathway on  $B_{N1}$  doped g- $C_9N_{10}$  through mixed I mechanisms with the optimized structure of each intermediate. (c) Free energy diagrams for optimal  $N_2$  reduction pathway on  $B_A$  doped g- $C_9N_{10}$  through enzymatic mechanism with the optimized structure of each intermediate.

## References

- J. N. Galloway, A. R. Townsend, J. W. Erisman, M. Bekunda, Z. Cai, J. R. Freney, L. A. Martinelli, S. P. Seitzinger, M. A. Sutton, *Science*, 2008, 320, 889.
- [2] V. Rosca, M. Duca, M. T. de Groot, M. T. Koper, Chem. Rev., 2009, 109, 2209-2244.
- [3] R. Schlögl, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2003, 42, 2004-2008.
- [4] C. Hu, Y. Lin, J. W. Connell, H. Cheng, Y. Gogotsi, M. Titirici, L. Dai, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, 31, 1806128.
- [5] M. A. Légaré, G. Bélanger-Chabot, R. D. Dewhurst, E. Welz, I. Krummenacher, B. Engels, H. Braunschweig, *Science*, 2018, 359, 896-900.
- [6] Y. Wang, T. N. Pham, L. Yan, Y. Morikawa, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2022, 10, 11791-11800.
- [7] G. Henkelman, H. Jonsson, J. Chem. Phys., 2000, 113, 9978-9985.
- [8] G. Henkelman, B. P. Uberuaga, H. Jónsson, J. Chem. Phys., 2000, 113, 9901-9904.
- [9] M. W. Chase Jr, C. A. Davies, J. R. Downey Jr, D. J. Frurip, R. A. McDonaldand, A. N. Syverud, NIST-JANAF Thermochemical Tables, 1998, pp. 1-1951.
- [10]W. Guo, K. Zhang, Z. Liang, R. Zou, Q. Xu, Chem, Soc. Rev., 2019, 48, 5658-5716.
- [11]X. F. Li, Q. K. Li, J. Cheng, L. Liu, Q. Yan, Y. Wu, X. H. Zhang, Z. Y. Wang, Q. Qiu and Y. Luo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 8706-8709.
- [12]C. Wang, Y. Zhao, C. Y. Zhu, M. Zhang, Y. Geng, Y. G. Li, Z. M. Su, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2020, 8, 23599-23606.